**Swarm Intelligence** Ant Colony Optimization

> Based on slides by Thomas Bäck, which were based on: Marco Dorigo and Thomas Stützle: Ant Colony Optimization. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2004.

### Examples of Collective Intelligence in Nature



Termite hill



Nest of wasps





#### Flocking birds

#### Bee attack

### Swarm Intelligence

- Originated from the study of colonies, or swarms of social organisms
- Collective intelligence arises from interactions among individuals having simple behavioral intelligence
- Each individual in a swarm behaves in a distributed way with a certain information exchange protocol

### Communication

- Point-to-point: information between individuals or between an object and an individual is directly transferred
  - direct visual contact, antennation, trophallaxis (food or liquid exchange), chemical contact, ...
- Broadcast-like: the signal propagates to some limited extent throughout the environment and/or is made available for a rather short time
  - generic visual detection, use of lateral line in fishes to detect water waves, actual radio broadcast
- Indirect (stigmergy): two individuals interact indirectly when one of them modifies the environment and the other responds to the new environment at a later time
  - pheromone laying/following, post-it, web

### Ant Colony Optimisation



### What is special about ants?

### Ants can perform complex tasks:

- nest building, food storage
- garbage collection, war
- **foraging** (to wander in search of food)
- There is no management in an ant colony
  - collective intelligence
- They communicate using pheromones (chemical substances), sound, touch



# Double Bridge Experiments

- A study on the pheromone trail-laying and –following behavior of Argentine ants
- A double bridge connects a nest of ants and a food source
- The ratio  $r = L_{long} / L_{short}$  between the length of the two branches of the double bridge is varied



 Ants are free to move between the nest and the food

J.L. Deneubourg, S. Aron, S. Goss and J.M. Pasteels (1990). The self-organizing exploratory pattern of the Argentine ant. Journal of Insect Behaviour, 3, 159-168.S. Goss, S. Aron, J.L. Deneubourg and J.M. Pasteels (1989). Self-organized shortcuts of the Argentine ant. Naturwissenschaften, 76, 579-581

## Double Bridge Experiments



- In most of the trials, almost all the ants select the short branch (exploitation)
- Not all ants use the short branch, but a small percentage may take the longer one (exploration)

## Foraging Behavior of Argentine Ants

- Ants initially explore the area surrounding their nest randomly
- Argentinian ants deposit pheromones everywhere they go
- When choosing their way, ants prefer to follow strong pheromone concentrations
- Pheromones defuse over time

## Foraging Behavior of Argentine Ants

- How do Argentine ants find the shortest path?
  - The ants that take the shortest path arrive at the food source first
  - They return over the path that they took to get there, reinforcing the pheromones they deposited when going to the food source
  - Other ants notice the trail and follow it, reinforcing it further
- Hence, during the "start" of the experiment the advantage that ants on the shortest path had is reinforced

### Alternative experiment

### An obstacle is put in the path of ants



a) - Ants **follow path** between the Nest and the Food Source



c) Ants on the shortest path arrives at the food source first; on the way back they will follow the pheromones on the shortest path again



b) - Ants go around the obstacle following one of two different paths with **equal probability** 



### Simple Ant Colony Optimisation: Shortest Paths

- Artificial ants going "forward"
  - choose probabilistically the next node on their path, exploiting pheromones
  - do not drop pheromones
  - memorize the path they take
- Artificial ants going "backward"
  - deterministically follow the path they took earlier
  - drop pheromones proportionally to the quality of the path taken earlier

initialize pheromones for each iteration do for k = 1 to number of ants do set out ant k at start node while ant k has not build a solution do choose the next node of the path end while end for update pheromones end for return best solution found

For an ant located at node v<sub>i</sub> the probability p<sub>ij</sub> of choosing v<sub>i</sub> as the next node is:

$$p_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{(\tau_{ij})^{\alpha}}{\sum_{m \in N_{i}^{k}} (\tau_{im})^{\alpha}} & \text{if } j \in N_{i}^{k} \\ 0 & \text{if } j \notin N_{i}^{k} \end{cases}$$

where

*τ*<sub>ij</sub> is the amount of pheromones on edge *i* → *j N*<sup>k</sup><sub>i</sub> is the set of neighbors of node *i* not visited by ant *k* yet (tabu list)

• Change in pheromone for an ant k on edge  $i \rightarrow j$ 

$$\Delta \tau_{ij}^k = \begin{cases} Q/L_k & \text{if } (i,j) \in T_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where:

- Q : a heuristic parameter
- $T_k$  : the path traversed by ant k
- $L_k$ : the length of  $T_k$  calculated as the sum of all lengths of edges in  $T_k$

• Pheromone update on an edge i  $\rightarrow j_m$ 

$$\tau_{ij} = (1-\rho)\tau_{ij} + \sum_{k=0} \Delta \tau_{ij}^k$$

#### with

•  $\rho$  : the evaporation rate of the old pheromone





 $Q=1,\,\rho=0.1$ 

	$\tau_{\text{old}}$	$\Delta \tau_{ij}^{1}$	$\Delta \tau_{ij}^{2}$	$\Delta \tau_{ij}^{3}$	$\Delta \tau_{ij}$	τ <sub>new</sub>
(1,2)	0.6	1/15	0	1/16	1/15 + 1/16 ≈ 0.129	0.6 * 0.9 + 0.129 = 0.669
(1,3)	0.5	0	1/18	0	1/18 ≈ 0.055	0.5 * 0.9 + 0.055 = 0.505
(2,3)	0.7	0	0	1/16	1/16 ≈ 0.063	0.7 * 0.9 + 0.063 = 0.693
(2,4)	0.4	1/15	1/18	0	1/15 + 1/18 ≈ 0.122	0.4 * 0.9 + 0.122 = 0.482
(2,6)	0.3	0	1/18	0	1/18 ≈ 0.055	0.3 * 0.9 + 0.055 = 0.325
(3,4)	0.3	0	1/18	1/16	1/18 + 1/16 ≈ 0.118	0.3 * 0.9 + 0.118 = 0.388
(3,5)	0.3	0	0	0	0	0.3 * 0.9 + 0 = 0.27
(4,5)	0.5	1/15	0	0	1/15 ≈ 0.067	0.5 * 0.9 + 0.067 = 0.517
(4,6)	0.6	0	0	1/16	1/16 ≈ 0.063	0.6 * 0.9 + 0.063 = 0.603
(5,6)	0.4	1/15	0	0	1/15 ≈ 0.067	0.4 * 0.9 + 0.067 = 0.427



- Low ρ → low evaporation → slow convergence, "old" paths continue to be traversed instead of searching new ones
- High ρ → high evaporation → very fast convergence, but due to limited memory no drive to explore variations of a good path